3rd November 1952] [Mr. Speaker]

recommendation to the introduction in the Madras Legislative Assembly of the Madras Motor Vehicles (Taxation of Passengers and Goods) Bill, 1952, and in pursuance of clause (3) of the said Article, I also recommend to that Assembly the consideration of the said Bill '.

' In pursuance of Article 207, clause (3) of the Constitution of India, I, Sri Prakasa, Governor of Madras, hereby recommend to the Madras Legislative Assembly the consideration of the Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952.' "

* SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" On a point of order, Sir, I wanted to refer to this earlier but I forgot. In the list of papers placed on the table of the House, I see item (15) refers to the Report of the Select Committee on the Madras Stage Carriages (Surcharge) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 4 of 1952) and the annexures thereto and the proceedings. I want to know whether after the Committee has reported, the report should not be presented to the House formally by the Government."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I think the hon. the Leader of the Opposition is well aware of the rules here. If the Government want to drop the Bill, they need not present the report to the House. In the Centre only I think they have the rule that the report should be formally presented to the House. Here if the Government want to drop it they can do so. The hon. the Leader of the Opposition will remember that such a thing happened here previously also. However, if he wants further information, I shall go into the matter and let him know afterwards if he gives notice."

•

IV.—LEAVE OF ABSENCE TO A MEMBER (SRI KUMARASWAMY RAJA BAHADUR).

SRI K. RAJARAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move—

' *That, under rule 11 of the Madras Assembly Rules, leave of absence be granted by this House to Sri Kumaraswamy Raja Bahadur, Raja of Karvetnagar, for a period of one month from the date of his absence.*' "

SRI N. RAMAKRISHNA AYYAR :—" I second it, Sir."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member has written to me asking for leave of absence for a month. I hope the House agrees."

The motion was carried.

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—" On a point of order, Sir. I gave notice of an adjournment motion. No mention of it has been made by the Hon. Speaker."

MR. SPEAKER :—" When the hon. Member met me in my room and presented it to me I told him that it was out of order."

(Sri Narayana Kurup rose in his seat.)

[3rd November 1952

MR. SPEAKER :—" Order, order. When the Chair is on its legs, the hon. Member should resume his seat. When he gave the motion to me, did I not tell the hon. Member that it was out of order and that I won't take it up here? "

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH :—" గవర్నమెంటువారి తరఫున తయారుచేయించినటువంటి రిపోర్టు విధైన సభ్యులకుమొదట ప్రకటించవలెనని అడుగగా అట్లుచేయుటకు వీలులేదని ప్రభుత్వంవారు అంటున్నారు. అటువంటప్పుడు శాసనసభ్యులవల్ల తయారు చేయబడ్డ రిపోర్టునుగూడ ప్రకటించవలెనని అడుగుటకు ప్రభుత్వానికి అదేవిధంగా ఏ మాత్రము హక్కు లేదని చెప్పుతున్నాను. "

MR. SPEAKER :—" I am not able to follow the hon. Member. Let the hon. Member put in writing whatever he wants and hand that over to the Secretary. I will have it translated so that I may know what it is that the hon. Member wants."

V.—GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION *RE* HANDLOOM INDUSTRY.

* THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" Sir, I have given notice of the following resolution to be moved at the meeting of the Assembly to-day. I beg to move—

> ' *This House emphatically supports the view of the Government of Madras that in order to provide a stable market for the important and widespread Cottage Industry of Handloom Weaving in which, in this State alone $4\frac{1}{2}$ millions of men and women subsist, the weaving of all bordered dhoties and coloured sarees should be reserved to the Handloom Industry and that mills and powerlooms should not be permitted to weave such dhoties and sarees. This House is further of opinion that the Central Government should take steps to make available for the Handloom Industry sufficient quantities of yarn, and in respect of counts of 40 and below, yarn spun out of Indian cotton so as not to burden the Handloom Industry with the higher price of imported cotton.*'

" Sir, I do not think anybody in this House will oppose this very reasonable resolution. It is a common fact well known to everybody and to every Member of this House from whatever constituency the Member may come that the handloom weavers are in a very serious state to-day. Hon. Members are also aware of the fact that next to agriculture, the handloom industry gives work and livelihood to the next largest number of men and women in this State of ours. I need hardly assure you Sir, and through you this House that I have had occasion in the course of my tours to see the very serious plight of the handloom weavers. They have no work. They have no wages. They are unemployed. Starvation is staring them in their faces. In some cases, as I heard with great regret, there have been even selling of children to make their living. It is a sorry spectacle of which everybody should take pity and unanimously try to do something for these unfortunate men and women. I need hardly say that this handloom industry is a very ancient cottage industry in our State. For thousands of years this cottage industry has flourished, has